REGISTERED POST/BY HAND

Government of India
Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation

Room No.201, G Wing, Nirman Bhawan
New Delhi, Dated 24th August, 2010

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to enclose herewith a copy of the minutes of the 88th meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee of Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation held on 05th August, 2010 under the Chairpersonship of Secretary (HUPA) to consider and sanction projects under Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM).

2. The Appraisal agencies (i.e. HUDCO, BMTPC) are requested to convey the decisions of the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee to all the State implementing agencies/nodal agencies for BSUP and IHSDP to take appropriate follow up action as per the minutes of the meeting.

3. A copy of the minutes is forwarded to the Secretaries in-charge of BSUP and IHSDP in States/UTs with a request to take further follow up action.

(G. Srinivasa Rao)
Under Secretary (JNNURM)
Tel.: 23061519

Encl: Minutes of the meeting

To
Members of the CSMC as follows:
1. The Secretary, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, New Delhi.
3. The Principal Adviser (HUD), Planning Commission, Yojana Bhavan, New Delhi.
4. The Secretary, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
6. The Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi.
8. The Joint Secretary and FA, Ministry of Urban Development and Ministry of HUPA, New Delhi.
10. The Adviser, CPHEEO, Ministry of Urban Development, Nirman Bhavan, New Delhi
11. The CMD, Housing and Urban Development Corporation Ltd., HUDCO Bhavan, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
12. The Mission Director & Additional Secretary (JNNURM), Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation, New Delhi- Member-Secretary.
Copy to the Secretaries in charge of Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) and Integrated Housing & Slum Development Programme (IHSDP) in the States/UTs:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Municipal Administration Department Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat Hyderabad – 500002</th>
<th>The Principal Secretary, HousingDepartment, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad -500002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Municipal Administration Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh, L-Block Secretariat, Hyderabad-500002.</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Tourism, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil Secretariat, Itanagar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, UD Department, Government of Assam, Dispur, Guwahati -781006.</td>
<td>The Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Additional Secretary &amp; Director (BUDA), Urban Development department, Vikash Bhawan, Patna.</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Bihar Sachivalaya, Patna-800015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Urban Administration &amp; Development Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Room No.316, DKS Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur -492001.</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing) Government of Goa, Secretariat Annex; EDC House, Panaji -403001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD) &amp; Housing, Government of Gujarat, Block No 14, 9th Floor, New Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar-382010</td>
<td>The Chief Executive Officer, Gujarat Urban Development Mission, GMFB Building, Sector-10A, Gandhinagar-382016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Department of Urban Development, Government of Haryana, SCO-20 Sec. 7C, Chandigarh- 160001.</td>
<td>The Secretary (UD), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Housing and UD Department, government of Jammu &amp; Kashmir, New Secretariat, Srinagar</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Director, Urban Local Bodies Government of Jammu &amp; Kashmir, 151-A/D, Gandhi Nagar, Jammu.</td>
<td>The Secretary Urban Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi-834004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing)</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government of Jharkhand, Project Building, Dhusra, Ranchi-834004</td>
<td>Government of Karnataka, Room No.213, 2nd Floor, Vikas Sauda, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Road, Bangalore-560001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary of Government UD Department, Government of Karnataka, Room No.436, 4th Floor, Vikas Sauda Dr, B.R. Ambedkar Road, Bangalore 560001</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Kerala, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram - 695001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram – 695001</td>
<td>The Secretary, Local Self Government, Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram- 695001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Executive Director, Kudumbashree, State Poverty Eradication Mission, Government of Kerala 2nd Floor, TRIDA Building, Chalakuzhy Road, Medical College (PO), Thiruvananthapuram 695011.</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Administration and Development Department, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Bhopal -462032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing &amp; Environment), Government of Madhya Pradesh, Mantralaya, Ballabh Bhavan, Bhopal-462032</td>
<td>The Commissioner, Urban Administration &amp; Development, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Nagar Palika Bhavan, Shivaji Nagar, Bhopal-462016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Maharashtra, Room No. 425, 4th floor Mantraalaya, Mumbai-400032</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Maharashtra, Room No.268, 2nd Floor, Mantralay, Mumbai-400032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Urban Affairs &amp; Housing, Government of Meghalaya, Main Secretariat Building Shillong-793001</td>
<td>The Secretary, Housing, UD &amp; Municipal Administration, Government of Manipur. Chief Secretariat, Imphal-795001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Urban Development &amp; Poverty Alleviation Department, Government of Mizoram, Civil Secretariat, Aizwal-796001</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Nagaland, Kohima-797001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, Works &amp; Housing, Government of Nagaland Kohima-797001</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Orissa, Orissa Secretariat, Bhubaneswar-751001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (LSG), Government of Punjab, Mini Secretariat Sector-9, Chandigarh -160001</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Punjab, Room No.419, Mini Secretariat, Sector-9, Chandigarh-160001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary, UDH &amp; LSG Department, government of Rajasthan Room No. 29, Main Building, Secretariat, Jaipur</td>
<td>The Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government of Rajasthan, Room No. 39, SSO Building, Government Secretariat, Jaipur 302005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Department of UD &amp; Housing, Government of Sikkim, NH 31A, Gangtok-737101</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), Government of Tamil Nadu, Fort St. George, Secretariat, Chennai-600009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary, Municipal Administration &amp; Water Supply Government of Tamil Nadu, 6th Floor, Ezhilagam Annexe, Chepauk, Chennai-600009</td>
<td>The Secretary (UD), Government of Tripura Civil Secretariat, Pt. Nehru Complex, Agartala-799001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD &amp; MA) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Room No.825, 8th floor, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (Housing), Government of Uttar Pradesh, 325 Bapu Bhavan, Lucknow-226001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Director, SUDA, Government of Uttar Pradesh, Navchetna Kendra 10, Ashok Marg, Lucknow</td>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of Uttarakhand, Uttarakhand Secretariat, 4 B, Subhash Road DEHRADUN-248001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Project Director (JNNURM), Urban Development Directorate, Government of Uttarakhand, 43/6, Mata Mandir Marg, Dharampur, Dehradun-248001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of West Bengal, Nagarayan, DF-8, Sector-1, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700064</td>
<td>The Secretary (UD &amp; Housing), Chandigarh Administration, UT Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh-160001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing), Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001</td>
<td>The Secretary, Local Administration Department, Government of Puducherry, Chief Secretariat, Puducherry-605001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Principal Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, 9th Floor, C Wing, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi.</td>
<td>The Additional Secretary (UD), Government of NCT of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, IP Estate, New Delhi-110002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Commissioner &amp; Secretary, (Relief &amp; Rehabilitation), UT of Andaman &amp; Nicobar Islands, Secretariat, Port Blair-744010</td>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), UT of Daman &amp; Diu, Secretariat, Moti Daman-396220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Secretary (Housing &amp; UD), UT of Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli, Secretariat, Silvassa-396220</td>
<td>The Chief Town Planner, Town &amp; Country Planning Department, UT Administration of Dadra &amp; Nagar Haveli, 2nd Floor, Secretariat, Silvassa-396230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Copy to:

1. The Additional Secretary to Hon'ble Prime Minister (Kind attention Shri R. Gopalakrishnan), PMO, South Block, New Delhi.
2. PS to Hon'ble Minister (HUPA)
3. PSO to Secretary (HUPA)
4. Joint Secretary (H), Ministry of HUPA
5. The Joint Secretary (PP), Ministry of Minority Affairs, Room No. 1125, 11th Floor, Paryavaran Bhavan, CGO Complex, New Delhi.
6. The Joint Secretary (UT), Ministry of Home Affairs, North Block, New Delhi.
7. Director (UPA), Ministry of HUPA.
8. OSD (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA.
9. Director (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
10. Director (RAY), Ministry of HUPA
11. Director (Administration), Ministry of HUPA
12. US(JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
13. DD(JPC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
14. DD(Data & MIS), NBO Ministry of HUPA
15. DD(NRC), NBO, Ministry of HUPA
16. AO (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
17. SO (JIHSDP), Ministry of HUPA
18. Monitoring Cell (JNNURM), Ministry of HUPA
19. The CMD, NBCC, “NBCC Bhavan”, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
20. The CMD, HPL, Jangpura, New Delhi-110014
21. The Executive Director, BMTPC, Core 5A, First Floor, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
22. The Director (Corporate Planning), HUDCO, “HUDCO Bhavan”, Indian Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003.
23. The Director, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, Uttarkhand -247667

Copy to:- Guard Folder on JNNURM

(G. Srinivasa Rao)
Under Secretary (JNNURM)
Tel: 23061519
MINUTES OF THE 88th MEETING OF THE CENTRAL SANCTIONING AND MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF THE SUB-MISSION ON BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (BSUP) UNDER JAWAHARLAL NEHRU NATIONAL URBAN RENEWAL MISSION (JNNURM)

Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, 05th August, 2010

The 88th Meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) of the Sub-Mission on Basic Services to the Urban Poor (BSUP) under Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) was held under the Chairpersonship of Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation in New Delhi on 05th August, 2010 at 3 PM in Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. The list of participants is at Annexure-I.

2.1. The Mission Director and Additional Secretary (JNNURM) welcomed the Chairperson and Members of the CSMC. He requested all the States/UTs/ULBs/implementing agencies to focus on quality implementation of all the housing projects for the poor and organize inspection of BSUP and IHSDP projects systematically through independent/professional agencies/quality assurance teams for ensuring utmost quality in their execution. Such inspection should not wait for the inspection by the State or Central TPIM agencies. This would save time and money in rectifying any deficiencies/defects that might go unnoticed on account of lack of regular inspection at the appropriate time, but came to notice only at TPIM inspection stage.

2.2. The Mission Director (JNNURM) reiterated the important points emphasized by the Chairperson in the earlier meetings of CSMC/CSC for adherence/implementation by the States/UTs/ULBs (Annexure-II).

3.1. In her opening remarks, Secretary (HUPA) and Chairperson, CSMC stated that States/ULBs should take more serious efforts in not only executing projects sanctioned with the highest quality but also implementing the 3 pro-poor reforms by the issue of appropriate legal amendments/regulations and ensuring that the same are translated into practice. Secretary (HUPA) informed regarding (i) the Town Planning Scheme (TPS) in Gujarat under the Gujarat Town Planning Act under which 10% land is reserved for Socially and Economically Weaker Sections (SEWS) and (ii) the

1/57
new enactment of the State of Madhya Pradesh reserving 25% of developed land or commensurate housing for EWS/LIG segments in all housing colonies. She requested other States to take action to have similar enactments. Secretary (HUPA) was informed by the Mission Director that the State of Uttar Pradesh has issued a Government Order to make BSUP Fund non-lapsable. The Chairperson suggested that the State should enact a new law or issue a regulation under existing laws as GO can be modified at any point of time. She also suggested that all States should take effective steps to complete the projects sanctioned with most quality.

3.2 Regarding the proposed Rajiv Awas Yojana, Secretary (HUPA) said that all the States should take proactive action for slum survey, Slum MIS, GIS mapping of slums and preparation of Slum-free City Plans. They should also undertake capacity building at State level and cities for launching a Slum-free State/City Campaign. Necessary financial assistance for the same has already been released to some states. Other states should submit proposals for release of funds under Slum free City Planning Scheme immediately.

3.3 Regarding the implementation of the Scheme of Affordable Housing in Partnership, Secretary (HUPA) & Chairperson, CSC informed that the main purpose of the scheme is to promote inclusive development of housing on a Central-State-Local and Public-Private partnership basis and that the EWS and LIG segments have access to affordable homes. She informed that the Central Subsidy sanctioned for Affordable Housing in Partnership (AHP) projects should lead to a reduction in the cost of EWS and LIG houses. If the same does not take place, funding support from GoI, which is on reimbursement basis, cannot be taken for granted. Secretary (HUPA) desired that the appraising agencies should undertake a thorough review of the projects approved by the CSMC and before any release of funds under the scheme on reimbursement basis is undertaken, strict adherence of the projects to the stipulations in the scheme guidelines should be ensured.

4. OSD (JNNURM) and Director (NBO) requested the States/ULBs to put up a proper JNNURM logo on all the buildings constructed under BSUP and IHSDP. He reiterated the instructions of the Chairperson that in all functions relating to foundation stone-laying and inauguration of BSUP and IHSDP projects, the local MPs, MLAs, Mayors/Municipal Chairpersons and other dignitaries must be involved. Further, the Ministry must also be invited at appropriate levels and be closely involved in the organisation of these functions.
5. For the CSMC Meeting, the following proposals were put up in the agenda:

(a) **Proposal for 2nd instalment of ACA received from**

i. Uttarakhand (3 projects – 2 projects in Dehradun; 1 project in Haridwar)

(b) **Proposal for DPR preparation charges received from**

i. Tamil Nadu (2 IHSDP schemes at Tiruppur and Nannkadal)

(c) **Proposal for additional infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme received from**

i. Uttar Pradesh (Lucknow)

(d) **Proposal for approval of new project under Affordable Housing in Partnership**

i. Rajasthan (1 project in Jaipur)

Brief details of the agenda are at Annexure-III.

6. **Proposal for 2nd instalment of ACA**

Uttarakhand (3 projects – 2 projects in Dehradun; 1 project in Haridwar)

6.1 The representative of the State of Uttarakhand made a presentation on the proposal seeking release of 2nd instalment of ACA for three projects (2 projects in Dehradun; 1 project in Haridwar).

6.2 The Committee observed the following:

- There was a short release of Rs. 5.96 lacs State share in the Ram Mandir Kusth Ashram Project in Dehradun,
- Though, during 2009-10 the State Government earmarked 40% budget for basic services, the actual utilisation was only 15%. Further, no figures are given for 2010-11.
• With regard to implementation of 7 Point Charter, the benchmark for sanitation is slipping.

• With regard to earmarking of 20 to 25 per cent of land in public and private colonies, the State Government has passed only Government order and not brought out a legislation.

6.3 The representative of the State informed that the un-utilised funds under internal earmarking for BSUP will be carried forward to the next financial year. He also informed that the necessary orders have been issued to all the ULBs for earmarking of municipal budget for basic services. He assured that with regard to earmarking of at least of 20-25% developed land in all housing projects for BWS/LIG category, steps for converting the Government order into legislation would be taken up soon and that the matter has been taken up with private developers also. As regards the implementation of the three pro-poor reforms in Haridwar, the representative of the State informed that the household survey for the implementation of 7 Point Charter has started and would be completed in three months time.

6.4 The Committee approved the proposals for release of 2nd instalment of ACA for the projects at (i) Shanti Kushth Ashram (Malin Basti) Dehradun (ii) Ram Mandir Kushth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun (iii) Pandeywala, Haridwar. However, in respect of Ram Mandir Kushth Ashram project the 2nd installment will be released after the State releases its share in full.

Details of the approval are at Annexure-IV (Col. A, B, and C).

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of 2nd installment of ACA for Shanti Kushth Ashram (Malin Basti) Dehradun and Pandeywala, Haridwar. In respect of Ram Mandir Kushth Ashram project the 2nd installment will be released after the State releases its State share in full; State to take action on the observation/decision of the Committee)

7. Proposal for DPR preparation charges received from Tamil Nadu (1 IHSDP project each at Tiruppur & Namakkal)
7.1 OSD (JNNURM & RAY) made a presentation on the proposal seeking reimbursement of DPR preparation charges amounting to Rs. 1,94,995/- in respect of 2 IHSDP schemes at Tiruppur and Namakkal (approved in 13th & 31st CSC meeting respectively).

7.2 The Committee approved the proposal after ascertaining the view of the appraising agency. Details of the approval are at Annexure-V. The approved amount will be recommended to the Ministry of Finance for release to the State Government which would ensure that the work done/payment is in accordance with the stipulated guidelines.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of DPR preparation charges)

8. Proposal for additional infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme received from

Uttar Pradesh

8.1 The representative of the State made a presentation on the proposal seeking central assistance in BSUP scheme in Lucknow (Gram Para, Kharika, Hewat Mau & Semra Gauri), which was approved in 8th CSMC meeting held on dated 29th December 2006. The request of the State for consideration of supplementary project for additional infrastructure works was earlier deliberated in 87th CSMC meeting held on 14.7.2010 and the Committee directed the State Government to submit the supplementary proposal to the appraisal agency for placing in the CSMC subject to availability of ACA.

8.2 The Committee observed the following:

- The technical reports have not been signed by the Competent Authority. Administrative and Technical check-list and summary of project (sanctioned and new proposal) indicating physical and financial parameters have not been signed by the SLNA/Implementing Agency.

- In the Layout Plans the infrastructure facilities/services proposed have not been indicated and also not signed by the Competent Authority.
8.3 The representative of the State informed that the above requirements would be complied immediately. The Committee observed that the ACA allocation under BSUP for Uttar Pradesh is already exhausted. The State representative stated that ACA allocation earmarked for IHSDP may be diverted to BSUP. However, Additional Secretary and Mission Director (JNNURM) observed that since the fund requirement for this proposal is small, the same could be sanctioned from the 5% BSUP funds for A&OE set apart already.

8.4 The Committee while reviewing the progress made on the implementation of reforms in the State of U.P. observed that the release of further installments of ACA be linked to the progress of implementation of three pro-poor reforms.

8.5 The Committee approved the proposal for additional infrastructure Component in BSUP Scheme in Lucknow (Gram Para, Kharika, Hewat Mau & Semra Gauri), subject to the conditions mentioned in para 8.2. Details of the approval are at Annexure-VI.

(Action: JNNURM Directorate to recommend release of ACA from the 5% BSUP A&OE funds pooled at central level after receipt of formal communication fulfilling the requirements mentioned at para 8.2; State to take action on the observation/decision of the Committee)

9. Proposal for approval of new project under Affordable Housing in Partnership:

Rajasthan

9.1 The representative of State of Rajasthan made a presentation on one project on Affordable Housing in Partnership at Kalwar Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

9.2 The Committee noted that the benefit of subsidy to EWS/LIG categories under the current proposal is very small and the burden of loan on these beneficiaries is fairly substantial. Since the revised guidelines for the Affordable Housing in Partnership scheme
proposed to be dovetailed with the newly announced Rajiv Awas Yojana (RAY), enhancing the financial limit are under consideration in the Ministry, the Committee advised the State Government to submit the proposal once the Guidelines of RAY are finalized and circulated. The proposal was deferred accordingly.

10. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

*****
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE 88th MEETING OF CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING COMMITTEE (CSMC) OF BSUP HELD UNDER THE CHAIRPERSONSHIP OF SECRETARY (HUPA) ON 05.08.2010

1. Ms. Kiran Dhingra, Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation .... in Chair
2. Dr. P.K. Mohanty, Add. Secretary & Mission Director (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
3. Shri P.K. Srivastava, Joint Secretary & Mission Director, Min. of Urban Development
4. Shri D.S. Negi, OSD (JNNURM&RAY), Min. of HUPA
5. Shri Vivek Nangia, Director (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
6. Shri L.P. Sonkar, Sr. Advisor (HUD), Planning Commission
7. Shri Naresh K. Dhiran, Town Planner, TCPO, Min. of Urban Development
8. Shri M. Sankaranarayan, Dy. Advisor (PHE), CPHEEV, Min. of Urban Development
9. Shri A S Parmar, Asst. Director, Department of Expenditure, Min. of Finance
10. Dr R Venkateswaran, Secretary LSG, Govt. of Rajasthan
11. Shri S D Thanvi, Chief General Manager, AVL, Jaipur, Rajasthan
12. Shri Sanjay Gupta, AVL, Rajasthan
13. Shri M D Mathur, Municipal Commissioner, Shri Ganga Nagar, Rajasthan
14. Shri S D Swarni, E.O., M.B Anupgarh, Rajasthan
15. Shri P. K. Bainsal, J.E., M.B Anupgarh, Rajasthan
16. Shri Suresh Chandra Maheshwari, E.O., M.B Berengar, Rajasthan
17. Shri G L Garg, E.O., M.B, Nimbahera, Rajasthan
18. Shri S. L. Amelto, J.E., M.B, Nimbahera, Rajasthan
19. Shri Prabhulal Bhabar, JE, MB BSW, Rajasthan
20. Shri Pradeep Kumar Garg, AEN, Nagar Palika Chittorgarh, Rajasthan
21. Shri Jaideep Dular, Consultant, Rajasthan
22. Ms. Megha Agarwal, Consultant, Rajasthan
23. Ms. Alka, Consultant, Rajasthan
24. Shri Rajiv Pandey, UD Directorate, Dehradun, Uttarakhand
25. Shri Ravi Pandey, Nagar Nigam, Dehradun
26. Shri Rabindra Agarwal, UD dept., Ranchi, Govt. of Jharkhand
27. Shri Ganga Ram, General Manager, GRDA, Ranchi, Jharkhand
28. Shri Chintamani, Director, SUDA, U.P
30. Ms. Usha P. Mahavir, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
31. Ms. Radha Roy, Deputy Chief, HUDCO, New Delhi
32. Shri N Chandrasekhar, HUDCO, Jaipur, Rajasthan
33. Shri Praveen K. K., HUDCO, Jaipur, Rajasthan
34. Shri D L Srivastava, Regional Chief, HUDCO, J&K
35. Shri Ashwani Bhagat, EDPA, HUDCO, J&K
36. Shri Pankaj Gupta, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
37. Shri C N Jha, Development Officer, BMTPC, New Delhi
38. Shri Umraw Singh, Dy. Director, Min. of HUPA
39. Ms Philomena Raphael, AO (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
40. Mr. G. Srinivasa Rao, Under Secretary (JNNURM), Min. of HUPA
ANNEXURE-II

IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING FORMULATION, EXECUTION & MONITORING OF BSUP AND IHSDP PROJECTS

CDPs and Slum Development Plans
- The first generation CDPs have not addressed the requirements of housing and infrastructure in slums/low-income settlements adequately. States/UTs should revisit their CDPs, identify all the slums in their cities and towns – both notified and non-notified, develop database, and assess the needs for both in situ and relocation projects. As far as possible, priority should be accorded to in situ development of slums, keeping the livelihood needs of the urban poor in view. Time-bound Slum Development Plans need to be prepared for all cities and towns to pursue the agenda of Slum-free Cities/Towns in view of the mandatory reform regarding the provision of entitlements and amenities to the urban poor in accordance with the 7-Point Charter of JNNURM.

Slum & Socio-economic Surveys
- Survey of slums and potential beneficiaries for coverage under BSUP and IHSDP projects is a must for the meaningful formulation of DPRs. All efforts should be made for the proper conduct of detailed slum surveys and household socio-economic surveys to identify the projects/facilities to be included under DPRs. The SLNAs concerned would be responsible for the conduct of various surveys under the guidance of the State Secretary concerned. OSD (JNNURM) will coordinate the conduct of surveys in BSUP cities/towns and other cities and towns covered / proposed to be covered under IHSDP. He will communicate necessary guidelines / formats for the conduct of Slum, Household and Livelihoods Surveys in States/UTs. Training programmes as required for effective survey works should be organised by SLNAs, coordinated by OSD (JNNURM).
- Surveys would facilitate the assessment of the felt-needs of slum-dwellers/urban poor, especially for housing and physical and social infrastructure including schools, health care centres and other social/community facilities like community halls, common facility centres etc. Such surveys should cover health, education and livelihood profiles of the urban poor. The survey findings must be utilized for designing good BSUP/IHSDP projects, taking into account important aspects such as dependency load in the existing schools, capacity in hospitals for in- and out-patients, need for multi-purpose
community centres including livelihood centres, informal sector markets, etc. depending on the occupational profiles of the beneficiaries and the likely numbers.

- In case there is time constraint, regular slum survey and household socio-economic survey can be preceded by rapid slum and socio-economic surveys for the purposes of assessing the state of basic amenities in slums and the felt-needs of beneficiaries, duly considering their broad social, economic, educational and skill profiles, main and subsidiary occupations etc. so that appropriate physical and social infrastructure facilities can be proposed in the DPRs.

- Each DPR should be accompanied by a list of beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey. Efforts should be made to develop slums inhabited predominantly by SCs, ST's and other weaker sections living in sub-human conditions. States/ULBs should ensure that houses under BSUP and IHSDP are provided to the needy and the properly targeted sections. The list of beneficiaries should be notified and placed in the website of the ULB/JNNURM.

- States/UT's should go in for issues of bio-metric identity cards to beneficiaries based on the socio-economic survey and computerization of data/records. This is to ensure that they do not sell the dwelling units and squat elsewhere. Further, the States/ULBs may impose conditions that the houses constructed under JNNURM cannot be transferred over a specified period or that the same would be on a long-term lease. The possibility of sale/aliernation/misuse of housing units constructed under BSUP and IHSDP should be prevented.

Consultation with Beneficiaries

- Consultation with beneficiaries is a must before deciding on preparation of DPRs. Willingness of the beneficiaries should be taken for any rehabilitation/relocation projects proposed and also for payment of beneficiary contribution.

- Affordability of the urban poor should be kept foremost in view while working out beneficiary contribution. Any contribution amount beyond their financial capacity may lead to the imposition of undue burden on them. Therefore, special care needs to be taken while deciding upfront beneficiary contribution or EMI payment. States/UTs may arrange loans under Differential Rate of Interest scheme for beneficiaries to enable them to meet their share. Overall construction cost of the housing unit may also be kept at a minimum.

Mandatory Clearances/Approvals
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• The State Level Nodal Agency/implementing bodies should ensure that the necessary clearances/approvals such as environmental clearance, Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) regulation clearance, land use clearance, etc. are in place before the DPRs are posed to the State Level Steering Committee/State Level Coordination Committee, as the case may be, for consideration. The CEO of the SLNA and State Secretary concerned should place the necessary technical clearances/approvals under various laws, including Environmental, Town Planning and Municipal laws, etc. and certificate that the estimates contained in the DPRs are authenticated/certified by the technically competent authorities under the State PWD Core/rules before the SLSC/SLCC.

• The layouts proposed for housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDP, showing various land uses and facilities proposed must conform to the prevalent Town Planning Rules/norms, as applicable to low income housing/informal settlements. Copies of layouts and housing designs must invariably accompany DPRs when the same are sent to Appraising Agencies.

Housing & Infrastructure Components
• The Ministry of Housing & Urban Poverty Alleviation has circulated Model DPR document to all States/UTs. The same is also placed in the Ministry’s web site for JNNURM. A ‘whole slum’ approach will need to be adopted covering provision of land tenure, affordable housing and basic services. The whole slum proposed for development/redevelopment/relocation should be de-notified after the BSUP or IHSDP project is implemented. However, considering the difficulties in practice and special needs of the urban poor at some locations, clusters having more than 15 housing units can also be considered. Under the whole slum approach, it must be ensured that pucca houses left out of housing programme should be provided with individual toilet facilities with a view to achieving total sanitation.

• The housing component should generally be at least 50% of the total project cost with a view to achieving the targets fixed under the Mission and also giving primacy to the provision of shelter to the urban poor except in cases where housing units have already been constructed/are being constructed under VAMBAY or other EWS scheme of Central or State Governments.

• Adequate provision should be made for water supply, sewerage, drainage, solid and liquid waste disposal in the colonies proposed for development under BSUP or IHSDP. Individual water and sewer connection should be provided. Digester technology, where
immediate connections to city sewer systems are not feasible, could be adopted in the place of dual-pits/septic tanks, wherever feasible.

**Education, Health & Other Facilities**

- Proposals for additional schools or additional rooms in existing schools must be part of the DPRs. The capacity of the existing schools to absorb the children from colonies being developed under BSUP and IHSDP needs to be carefully studied. The estimate of school-going children (including those from the new colonies) and demand for classrooms in terms of prevailing norms, capacity in existing schools and the additional capacity required should be worked out.
- Similar exercise should be done for providing health care facilities – hospitals, health centres, maternity centres etc.
- Action needs to be taken to ensure that other community infrastructure facilities like electrical sub-stations, transformers, water supply reservoirs, parks, playgrounds, bus stops, local markets, post offices, etc., are also made available to the poor.
- Detailed estimates of community facility requirements as per planning norms, availability and gaps to be addressed have to be prepared at the initial stage of project preparation itself.

**Colony Layouts & Housing Designs**

- The colony layout plan should be socially cohesive and should facilitate social interaction amongst the dwellers. Efforts should be made for providing at 30% open areas along with 15% organised green area in the layouts.
- Adequate space must be provided in the layouts for community facilities including social and livelihoods infrastructure. The layout plan must include designated space to take care of convergent services such as health, education and recreation, informal sector markets, livelihood centres, pens for animals (if permitted and required) etc. in accordance with the specific needs of each of the slum pockets and their beneficiaries.
- The houses proposed should have two rooms, balcony, kitchen and separate bathroom and latrine, individual water connection and sewer connection. Aspects such as storage space for keeping things in rooms/kitchen, location of kitchen, location of toilet and bathroom in the houses to facilitate privacy, independent access from both rooms to toilet and bathroom, leaving a small space for fitting exhaust fan in kitchen and toilet, balcony for drying clothes etc., are some of the nuances that can be thoughtfully incorporated in the design of the houses for the poor.
• In the case of more than G+3 structures, provisions for ramp/lifts may be made to ensure that the old, disabled and children are not put to inconvenience.
• While priority should be given to accommodate physically challenged beneficiaries in the colonies, suitable barrier-free environments need to be provided for them in the proposed projects.
• The Ministry of HUPA, with the help of HUDCO and BMTPC, has developed good designs of houses, colonies, ramps and various types of social infrastructure facilities which could be appropriately used while formulating project proposals. A Toolkit has also been published in this regard. The same may be referred to.
• The State Level Nodal Agency/ULBs/other implementing agencies may adopt innovative designs for layouts and houses for the poor, multi-purpose community centres, informal sector markets, animal pens, etc. not only in BSUP and IHSDP projects but also in their own programmes taken up by Housing Corporations/Boards etc. duly considering the models presented by HUDCO and BMTPC in the Toolkit published by the Ministry.

Developing Green Habitats
• States/UTs should take action to develop green habitats for the urban poor duly providing as many green belts, parks, avenue plantations, etc. as possible. Road-side plantations with tree guards and block plantations in the colonies taken up under BSUP and IHSDP should be given priority by the ULBs/Departments dealing with Parks, Plantations and Urban Forests.
• Tall seedlings, say 4-5 years old may be procured and planted in BSUP and IHSDP colonies so that they get established quickly without the need for careful nurture and maintenance.

Connectivity to City Infrastructure
• The infrastructure networks being developed under BSUP and IHSDP should invariably be integrated or planned to be integrated with trunk-line city infrastructure facilities, either already existing or being developed under UIG / UIDSSMT or State Government programmes in accordance with CDPs.
• The States/ULBs should ensure proper coordination amongst various agencies engaged in the implementation of JNNURM (UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP) and other schemes to make sure that slums and low-income communities are linked to city-wide infrastructure systems. The project appraisal teams for UIG, UIDSSMT, BSUP and IHSDP must ensure such type of linkages.
• Infrastructure facilities provided to slum-dwellers/urban poor in the BSUP and IHSDP colonies should not be inferior to those in the surrounding areas.

O&M - Maintenance of Assets
• Proper maintenance of assets and upkeep of cleanliness and hygiene in the housing complexes / colonies developed under BSUP and IHSDP should be given utmost importance. States/UTs must develop viable and sustainable mechanisms for the maintenance of the houses and common infrastructure facilities created under BSUP and IHSDP though suitable mechanisms such as colony welfare associations, local body-residents partnerships, institutional arrangements of collection of monthly maintenance charges etc.
• Wherever informal sector markets are taken up as a part of social infrastructure facilities in colonies, their operation on a time-sharing basis by inhabitants or their associations can be considered by the ULB concerned for enabling wider coverage of beneficiaries, without allotting space to any one person permanently.

Prime Minister’s New 15-Point Programme for Welfare of Minorities
• An important objective of the new programme is to ensure that the benefits of various government schemes for the underprivileged reach the disadvantaged sections of the minority communities. In this regard, care should be taken to take up clusters of minority beneficiaries to the extent possible. Wherever feasible, efforts should be made to allocate up to 15% of targets under BSUP and IHSDP for the minorities.

Appraisal Check Lists
• Responsibility for the technical specifications in DPRs (adherence to State PWD Code and Government Orders) and their endorsement/approval by the competent authority lies with the ULB/implementing authority/State Level Nodal Agency. The appraisal agencies must also ensure that the technical specifications are duly certified by the technically competent authorities as per State Government Public Works Code/Government Orders. Authenticated estimates (rates and bills of quantities) duly signed by appropriate authorities must accompany the DPRs/Appraisal Reports.
• The SLNAs/Appraisal Agencies should specially devote attention to the following aspects:
  • Land availability for housing the poor – verification of ownership;
- Land tenure status – patta, temporary patta, occupancy right etc.;
- Availability of State share, ULB share, Beneficiary contribution as per Guidelines of BSUP/IHSDP;
- Willingness of beneficiaries to pay for their contribution.
- Housing design – two rooms, kitchen, balcony, individual toilet, individual water connection - refer to the Design Manual circulated by the Ministry;
- Adherence to town planning norms – Layout plans/designs should conform to town planning regulations applicable and be duly signed by competent municipal authority/SLNA officer;
- Provision of adequate open space in layouts – for green belts, parks, playgrounds, avenue plantations, roads etc.;
- Authentication by competent engineering authority as per State Government PWD Code/Order duly signed by engineers of appropriate level and SLNA Chief Executive Officer;
- Identification of eligible beneficiaries - process for issue of biometric identity cards must be completed within one month after sanction of projects, in general;
- Rapid/detailed socio-economic survey of beneficiaries – details to be provided;
- Proper identification of needs of community infrastructure
  - Provision of required civic infrastructure including social infrastructure such as community hall, livelihood centre, information sector market, animal pen, etc. Estimation of requirements must be based on judicious norms.
  - Connectivity of slum infrastructure facilities with city-wide trunk infrastructure networks – water supply, sewerage, storm drainage, roads etc. – ensuring connectivity of local infrastructure being taken up under BSUP/IHSDP with facilities being created under UIG/UIDSSMT.
- Availability/provision of basic amenities like post offices, bus stops, transport services, local shopping complexes, electricity transformers, sub-stations, water supply overhead tanks/ground level service reservoirs etc.
- SLSC/SLCC approval, project-wise (not in a block) with all details clearly indicating estimates, plans, availability of State share and agreement to meet cost escalation.
Time & Cost Over-runs

- The BSUP and IHSDP projects are generally required to be completed in 12 to 15 months. In the case of Special Category States/UTs and projects requiring special considerations, 3 to 6 months additional period could be provided. It is expected that any escalation in the project cost would be borne by the State Government/ULB concerned.

- For reducing escalation in the cost of projects, the following options could be exercised by the implementing agencies:
  i) Purchasing materials (cement, steel, sanitary pipes, electrical items) in bulk, wherever considered prudent and feasible with a view to reducing cost;
  ii) Encouraging labour contribution from the beneficiaries under the supervision of qualified technical personnel;
  iii) Bifurcating tendering (between housing component and infrastructure component) with a view to reducing the possibility of time and cost overruns; and
  iv) Creating/using a revolving “Basic Services for Urban Poor (BSUP) Fund” earmarked out of the municipal budget and supplemented by other innovative measures like cross-subsidization, State Government support etc. for meeting cost escalation.

Setting up of PMU/PIUs

- The States/UTs should submit proposals to OSD (JNNURM) who will get the same appraised and bring up before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. Transparent methods should be adopted in the selection and appointment of professionals in PMUs and PIUs. Such appointments should not be permanent in nature but only in terms of short-term engagements. No appointment should be seen as a place for parking the dead-wood. States/UTs must take all care to ensure that the PMU/PIUs have competent personnel.

- Each appointment to PMU/PIU should be based on prescribed terms of reference and the deliverables should be measured. Various activities, tasks and outcomes have to be clearly spelt out in the TORs. States/ULBs should exercise utmost caution in making such appointments on a contract basis. They should try and ensure minimum expenditure by selecting/appointing professionals at an appropriate remuneration rather than immediately opting for the maximum amount indicated by the Centre. However, the calibre of such professionals should be of a reasonably high level. If need be, qualified persons from Central/State Government/ULBs could be
taken in PMU/PIU on deputation. The personnel with PMUs/PIUs should work in tandem/collaboration with the State Level Nodal Agency / ULBs. These personnel should also assist in the implementation of other programmes like SJSRY.

**Fees for Preparation of DPRs**
- The States/UTs should submit proposals for reimbursement of fees to the concerned Appraisal Agency which had appraised the projects. The Appraisal Agency has a crucial role in examining the claim with particular reference to the various stages of improvement and modifications that were brought out in the DPRs before they were finally approved by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. The Appraisal Agency should submit report to the Ministry for releasing Central Assistance for reimbursement of charges towards the cost of preparation of DPRs (both in the case of DPRs prepared by in-house personnel as well as by consultants). These will be considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee. After approval, recommendation will be sent to the Ministry of Finance/Ministry of Home Affairs for releasing Central Assistance out of the ACA allocation for the particular State/UT in the case of projects prepared by consultants.
- The Central Assistance for DPRs prepared through in-house personnel of the States would be released from out of the 1% JNNURM fund in the Budget of Ministry of HUPA as decided in the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee Meetings earlier. While sending proposals for reimbursement of project preparation charges, the appraising agency must ensure that two copies of DPRs (duly revised based on decisions of CSMC/CSC) are provided to OSD (JNNURM) for record. The Appraising Agency should also keep two copies of DPRs with it in safe custody so as to be able to meet requests for information under the RTI Act, 2005.

**Community Development Network (CDN)**
- The States / UTs should prioritise and get necessary approval from SLSC/SLCC to the proposals concerning Community Development Network (CDN) so as to seek support from the Community Participation Fund. Such proposals received in the Ministry of HUPA will be appraised by a team working under the GOI-UNDP Project on National Strategy for Urban Poor coordinated by the National Project Coordinator/Deputy Secretary (JNNURM). The reports will then be placed before the Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee for consideration.
• Community Development Networks involving Neighbourhood Groups, Neighbourhood Committees and Community Development Societies should be promoted so that the dynamics of the CDN lead to fraternity in the neighbourhoods and issues of alienation of all sorts could be eliminated. CDN should work towards better inter- and intra- relationships in colonies to get over the dividing forces. This will strengthen a feeling of solidarity among the residents.

Quality in Execution of Projects

• Housing for the poor does not mean poor quality housing. Utmost emphasis must be accorded to the quality execution of houses and infrastructure facilities for the poor. High quality construction, functional units, vector-free atmosphere and healthy living environment should be ensured in the housing projects under BSUP/IHSDP. States/UTs should establish both internal and external quality assurance mechanisms in the case of all BSUP and IHSDP projects.

• State Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should ensure that all the projects approved are inspected by independent high level teams from time to time to ensure quality in execution and timely completion of projects through removal of all hurdles.

• Beneficiary committees must be constituted to supervise construction of houses. States/UT’s should take steps for conducting social audit of projects under BSUP and IHSDP similar to NREGA.

Third Party Inspection and Monitoring (TPIM)

• TPIM should be instituted to bring in transparency and quality in the implementation of all BSUP and IHSDP projects. The Ministry will be providing necessary financial and technical assistance to the States / UT’s for TPIM. A Toolkit has been prepared and communicated to the States /UTs.

• Those States/UT’s who have not been able to establish TPIMA for BSUP and IHSDP projects may use the services of independent review and monitoring agencies engaged for UIG, UIDSSMT or other programmes.

• Before final instalment is released under BSUP and IHSDP projects, TPIM or quality inspection report until such time a TPIMA is engaged, will be required from the concerned States/UT’s unless the CSMC/CSC is of the opinion that the release need not be stopped for the present in the interest of completing houses for the urban poor, who have contributed their share.

Capacity Building Activities
• In the year 2006-07, the Ministry of HUPA had released fund to the State Governments for capacity building activities including research and training towards implementation of BSUP and IHSDP projects. Unless the States/UTs submit utilisation certificates for the funds released earlier, further releases of Central Assistance would be held up, as utilisation certificates have to be furnished within 12 months from the date of closure of the financial year to which financial sanction pertains.

• Capacity building is one of the biggest constraints in the execution of projects and reforms under JNNURM. The States/UTs may take full advantage of the IEC facilities under JNNURM, the National Programme on Capacity Building for Urban Poverty Alleviation and the programme of National Network of Resource Centres (NNRCs).

City/Town Poverty Reduction Strategy

• The city of Rajkot (Gujarat) has brought out a City Poverty Reduction Strategy Report. Other cities/towns may bring out similar reports. They may prepare comprehensive Slum Development Plans with a view to pursuing the goal of Slum-free City. The toolkit prepared by the National Strategy for the Urban Poor project may be referred to.

• States/UTs must develop agenda for Slum-free Cities and Towns and prepare and implement time-bound action plans with specified milestones for progress.

Key Reforms - Core to the Urban Poor

• Special attention should be paid for the implementation of the three key reforms stipulated under JNNURM that are critical to the urban poor: (i) internal earmarking within local body budgets for basic services to the urban poor; (ii) provision of basic services including the implementation of 7-Point Charter in accordance with agreed timelines; (iii) earmarking at least 20-25% of developed land in all housing projects (both public and private agencies) for EWS/LIG category with a system of cross subsidization. States/UTs must develop broad state level policy frameworks to facilitate the implementation of these reforms in all cities and towns.

• The issues of land availability for housing the urban poor and providing them security of land tenure are important issues to be addressed by States/UTs/ULBs if the goals of JNNURM are to be attained. States/UTs need to develop a policy framework including tangible reforms in master planning paradigm and process urgently.
Convergent Delivery of Social Services

- It is necessary to integrate provisions of health, education and social security with land tenure, housing and other amenities for the urban poor to enable them to lead a decent quality of life. Urban Local Bodies and State Governments have a critical role to play to ensure the proper convergence of facilities under the already available schemes for education, health, social security, etc. implemented through different Departments/Agencies. The DPRs should list out the deficiencies in terms of access to school, primary health centre, provision of social welfare and other facilities so that timely remedial measures can be taken up in accordance with the socio-economic survey. Provision of adequate infrastructure by way of school/additional class rooms and health care centres should be taken at the formulation of the DPR itself. A mere statement that adequate number of schools/health centres is available in the vicinity of the proposed housing colony would not be sufficient. The State/ULB/implementing agencies concerned should certify that such facilities available in the vicinity are also accessible to the slum dwellers. Similarly proper convergence of schemes in the realm of social security such as old age pension, widow pension, disability pensions, health insurance, maternity benefit scheme, etc. should be ensured to benefit the urban poor selected under JNNURM and other programmes.

- The States/UTs must take all steps to ensure the convergence of BSUP and IHSDP with other ongoing schemes such as UIG, UIDSSMT, Sarva Sikhsa Abhiyan, Health Mission, Aam Aadmi Bima Yojana, Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana, National Social Assistance Programme, Prime Minister’s Employment Generation Programmes, SJSRY etc. Shelter and basic amenities to the urban poor may not suffice them to move above the poverty line. In particular, State Governments/ULBs should make effort to dovetail the implementation of SJSRY with JNNURM. This step would provide the urban poor with access to livelihoods and enable them to overcome poverty. Programmes for skill development, self-employment, community mobilization, development and empowerment are necessary to facilitate sustained improvements in the living conditions of the urban poor and develop ‘inclusive’ cities.

Projects for in situ Development

- States/UTs should come up with adequate number of projects for in-situ development with good lay-outs and type designs. The emphasis should be to provide a better and supportive atmosphere for living and working. The in-situ development projects should not
end up with creation of another cluster of unplanned houses without access to water, sanitation and social infrastructure. Suitable planning and infrastructure provision norms must be adopted. The quality of infrastructure provided to housing colonies under BSUP and IHSDF projects should not be inferior to those available for surrounding areas.

Handholding in Relocation
- In the case of relocation projects, the process of shifting to a new environment with inadequate facilities, near-loss of contact with close relatives and being far off from work places can be very traumatic. The States/UTs should engage social counsellors and Community Development Department personnel/Community Organisers in ULBs to work closely with the beneficiaries and ensure that the process of transition to the new multi-storeyed housing complex/environment/location is as smooth as possible.
- Time-bound programmes must be implemented to provide all basic amenities to the urban poor in the relocation colonies, including city transport services and local market complexes.

Sense of Belongingness
- To create a sense of belongingness, the slums may be named in consultation with the intended beneficiaries. Provision of a low cost enclosure around open spaces in the slum pocket being covered under BSUP/IHSDF could be considered by States/ULBs, if the cost is not prohibitive.
- Beneficiaries must be closely involved in the planning, identification, implementation, monitoring, review and social audit of JNNURM projects.

IEC Activities – Awareness Building
- In a people-centric programme like BSUP and IHSDF under JNNURM, there is a need to generate awareness amongst both the targeted so that they are able to receive what is intended for them by the Government. Awareness needs to be generated amongst the non-targeted sections so as to improve urban policy and highlight how the concerns of the urban poor are very relevant to them. Any awareness campaign should have a national tone, tenor, appeal and recall value, backed by consistent and coherent slogans and themes. The States/ULBs could bring out advertisements in vernacular languages with local adaptation of the templates prepared by the Ministry of HUPA. States/ULBs should ensure that the local adaptation does not deviate from the letter and spirit of the national templates and the
messages being conveyed are only about the programme and related policy advocacy. They should also ensure that all such media campaigns are in accordance with the relevant rules and regulations applicable. Cost of such campaigns, in accordance with Government approved rates, would be reimbursed to the States/ULBs under the IEC component of JNNURM subject to limits fixed by CSMC/CSC. Reimbursement will be made if prior approval of the Mission Directorate/CSMC/CSC in the Ministry of HUPA was obtained before launching such campaign. Proposals for reimbursement of such expenditure will be submitted through HUDCO, which will put up the same to the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee for its consideration and approval of reimbursement through Department of Expenditure, Ministry of Finance or Ministry of Home Affairs, as the case may be.

Progress Reports on Implementation

- The States/ULBs should send Quarterly Progress Reports/ Monthly Progress Reports on projects as well as reforms as per prescribed formats, without fail to enable the Ministry to report to the Prime Minister’s Office in time. Further, one page abstract on the status of implementation of projects and reforms must be presented before posing the details of project proposals in the meetings of Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee/Central Sanctioning Committee.

- State/UT Secretaries in charge of BSUP and IHSDP should take monthly review of the implementation of projects and reforms under BSUP and IHSDP. Copies of the minutes of such review meetings should be sent to the Mission Directorate in MoHUPA.

Focus on Urban Policy

- There is an urgent need for States/UT’s to focus on broader urban policy and urban management reforms to address not only the backlog and current urban issues but also the challenges of future urban growth, say in the next 20-25 years, so that the conditions that led to urban decay are prevented well in time. We should not be in a situation where we are perpetually chasing slum upgradation; States/UTs should plan proactively in anticipation of the future patterns of urban growth due to the factors of rural-urban migration, urban-urban migration, reclassification and natural increase. Without the implementation of urban planning and local government reforms and capacity building for effective urban management, JNNURM would remain a mere infrastructure upgradation programme.
none of the policy changes for vibrant, productive, sustainable and inclusive cities that JNNURM hopes to drive would materialize.

**********
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**COMMITTEE (CSMCC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION II) BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING**

**ANNEXURE-III**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>10.03.21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Sanctioned houses:** More than 50% work completed in 27 DUS have been sanctioned for construction, tender invitation, and work order issued. A work started in all the 27 DUS. 

**Utilization of Central & State Share:** 100% utilization of 0.2795C. 

- The utilization recommended for release is Rs. 0.2795C.
- Rs. 0.2795C have already been released.
- The total amount for the installation of Central share of Rs. 1.1180C. 
- The total project cost is Rs. 1.6364C with the Central share of 52.95%.
- The project has been approved in 02-2795C.

**Up-to-date data uploaded on POMS till April 2021:**
- PMU Plus established and functional with SLPA's comments.
- Quality reported good as per TPI/MNA observations.
- TPI/MNA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC.
- Progress.
- Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under.
- Beneficiaries' identification has been done.
- Rs. 0.2795C will be made for earmarking of developed land for EWS.

**Brief Summary of Agenda for Consideration to Central Sanctioning & Monitoring Committee (C8MCG) Under Basic Services to the Urban Poor (SB-Urban-II):**

- More than 50% work completed in 27 DUS have been sanctioned for construction, tender invitation, and work order issued. A work started in all the 27 DUS.
- Utilization of Central & State Share: 100% utilization of 0.2795C.
- The utilization recommended for release is Rs. 0.2795C, Rs. 0.2795C have already been released.
- The total amount for the installation of Central share of Rs. 1.1180C.
- The total project cost is Rs. 1.6364C with the Central share of 52.95%.
- The project has been approved in 02-2795C.
- Up-to-date data uploaded on POMS till April 2021.
- PMU Plus established and functional with SLPA's comments.
- Quality reported good as per TPI/MNA observations.
- TPI/MNA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC.
- Progress.
- Biometric identification of beneficiaries is under.
- Beneficiaries' identification has been done.
- Rs. 0.2795C will be made for earmarking of developed land for EWS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07.240</td>
<td>The utilization of centric shares 100% and state share is 0.7240.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.240</td>
<td>The 2nd installment recommended for release is Rs. 7240.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.240</td>
<td>The total amount for 2nd installment of central share of Rs. 2.8959.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.240</td>
<td>The total project cost is Rs. 3.6199.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.07.2009</td>
<td>Meeting held on 13.07.2009. The project has been approved in 34% CSMC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.240</td>
<td>Up-to-date data updated on POMS till April’2010.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PMU/PLUS established and functional.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TPMA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progress.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biometric Identification of beneficiaries is under.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beneficiaries identification has been done.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The LCG will be made for earmarking of developed land for LCG.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Earmarking of housing scheme is launched with provision taken by the development authorities as per the housing schemes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in the housing projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fully completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75% and 25-50% completed in 6 bus stop and 14 bus stop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Committee (CSBAMC) under Sub-Mission (II) for Consideration of Agenda for Sanctioning & Monitoring

Brief Summary of Agenda for Consideration to Central Sanctioning & Monitoring
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No</th>
<th>0.0.0.0.5</th>
<th>0.0.0.0.5</th>
<th>0.0.0.0.5</th>
<th>0.0.0.0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UEP-coated data uploaded on JOMS</td>
<td>PMU/PS established and functional</td>
<td>TPMPA proposal submitted for approval of CSMC</td>
<td>Progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biometric identity of beneficiaries is under development</td>
<td>Beneficiary identity has been done</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It will be made for earmarking of developed land for EWS housing if any housing scheme is launched then provision taken up by the development authorities as per in.</td>
<td>Earmarking of at least 20-35% of developed land in no. of amenities in progress</td>
<td>Physical progress not reported in terms of no. of DUS &amp; additional cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>approved by the State Govt. &amp; State has borne the due to cost escalation project cost of 4.35 CR was</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(As in figures)

COMMITTEE (CSAMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II)

BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING
## Project Implementation Agency

and financial parameters have not been signed by the 

**Project Implementation Agency**: 

- Administrative 
- Technical Checklist and summary of 
- Supplementary proposal for consideration of the CSMC 
- Committee directed the State to submit the 
- CSMC meeting held on 14.02.2010. Accordingly, the 
- current SOF was discussed and deliberated in 8th 
- AGM's request for consideration of supplementary 

### 24 to 08

- The land is in the name of the Nagar Panchayat and 
- Certain existing works: 
  - SCD - Economic Survey has been conducted. 
  - Existing local body is in existence. 
  - SLC proposal has been adopted. 

### 1.33 1.7 6.16

- The project has already been approved in 8th CSMC.

### 5.40 6.27 11.67

- Lucknow/Narendra 
- Project for Phase 6: 
  - SUF phase of the 
  - Infrastructure 
  - Additional 
  - Lucknow/Gram 
  - Harinam 
  - Nameka 
  - Uttar Pradesh

### Uttar Pradesh 

- Seminar Gandhi 
- Special Mag.) 
- Panchayat Raj 
- CSMC (CSM-CM)

### Summary of Agenda for Consideration to Central Sanctioning and Monitoring

---

**Committee (CSM-CM) under Basic Services to the Urban Poor (Sub-Mission II)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2.67</th>
<th>2.64</th>
<th>2.67</th>
<th>5.40</th>
<th>11.67</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The duration of project is 15 months.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously in any Central/State government scheme covered under the scheme had not been benefitted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency has informed that area/beneficiaries came out of poverty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biometric registration of beneficiary needs to be</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(As in Column)

COMMITTEE (CSSAMC) UNDER BASIC SERVICES TO THE URBAN POOR (SUB-MISSION-II) BRIEF SUMMARY OF AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING & MONITORING
City/State : Dehradun/Uttarakhand
Project Title: BSUP DPR for Shanti Kusth Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand

Financial Assessment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Utilized</th>
<th>% Utilized vis-a-vis Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CSMC Approval</td>
<td>52nd CSMC/30.01.2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>137.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>109.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>State Share</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>96.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>State Share</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TOTAL:=</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>96.71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amount Sought (₹): 102.90 Lakh
Recommended release as 2nd Installment (₹): 27.44 Lakh

Physical Progress:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Progress Parameter</th>
<th>Housing (%)</th>
<th>Amenities (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sanctioned</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tender Floated</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Work Order Issued</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Work Started</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Upto 25% Completed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25-50% Completed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>More than 50% completed</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fully Completed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Occupied</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reform Status:

1. (a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor
2. Basic Services to Urban Poor
3. Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects
Details as reported by the state is at annexure-I

CSMC Conditions: No Conditions

Other Aspects:

i. Whether Beneficiaries identified: Yes
ii. Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website: Under Process
iii. Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed: Under Process
iv. Whether TPIMA instituted: Proposal submitted for approval of CSMC
v. Quality: Laboratory test report for C.C. Cubes, Bricks & Coarse Sand has been submitted without SNLA's comments.
vi. Whether PMU/PIUs established & functional: Yes
vii. Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS: April 2010
City/State: Dehradun/Uttarakhand
Project Title: BSUP DPR for Ram Mandir Kust Ashram (Malin Basti), Dehradun, State of Uttarakhand

Financial Assessment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Utilized</th>
<th>% Utilized vis-a-vis Due</th>
<th>% Utilized vis-a-vis Released</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CSME Approval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>163.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>111.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>State Share</td>
<td>51.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>27.95</td>
<td>27.95</td>
<td>34.86</td>
<td>85.21%</td>
<td>99.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>State Share</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>34.86</td>
<td>85.21%</td>
<td>99.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>40.91</td>
<td>34.95</td>
<td>34.86</td>
<td>85.21%</td>
<td>99.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Amount Sought (₹)</td>
<td>128.69 Lakh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Recommended release as 2nd Installment (₹)</td>
<td>27.95 Lakh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Short release of ₹ 5.96 Lakh in matching state share release**

Physical Progress:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.</th>
<th>Progress Parameter</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Amenities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sanctioned</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tender Floated</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Work Order Issued</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Work Started</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Upto 25% Completed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25-50% Completed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>More than 50% completed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fully Completed</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Occupied</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reform Status:

1. (a) Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor
2. (b) Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund
3. Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects

CSMC Conditions:

No Conditions

Other Aspects:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Whether Beneficiaries identified</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website</td>
<td>Under Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>Whether Biometric identification of beneficiaries completed</td>
<td>Under Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>Whether TPIMA instituted</td>
<td>Proposal submitted for approval of CSMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>Laboratory test report for C.C. Cubes, Bricks &amp; Coarse Sand has been submitted without SLNA's comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi.</td>
<td>Whether PMU/PIUs established &amp; functional</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii.</td>
<td>Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS</td>
<td>April 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City/State : Haridwar/Uttarakhand
Project Title: Detail Project Report for "Pandeywala, Haridwar, State of Uttarakhand, BSUP".

Financial Assessment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Due</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Utilized</th>
<th>%age Utilized</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CSMC Approval</td>
<td>31st CSMC/13.02.2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>361.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>289.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>State Share</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due: Due Date, Released: Released Date, Utilized: Utilized Date, %age Utilized: %age Utilized Date

Due to cost escalation revised project cost of ₹ 434.90 Lakh was approved by the State Government & the State has borne the additional cost of ₹ 72.91 Lakh.

Physical Progress:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.</th>
<th>Progress Parameter</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Amenities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sanctioned</td>
<td>23.08.2008</td>
<td>23.08.2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Work Started</td>
<td>27.07.2009</td>
<td>27.07.2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Upto 25% Completed</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
<td>March 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25-50% Completed</td>
<td>June 2010</td>
<td>June 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>More than 50% completed</td>
<td>July 2010</td>
<td>July 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fully Completed</td>
<td>Jan 2011</td>
<td>Jan 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Occupied</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Physical progress not reported in terms of no. of DUs & nos. of amenities in progress

Reform Status:

1. Internal Earmarking of Funds for Urban Poor
2. Constitution of Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund
3. Earmarking of at least 20-25% of developed land in housing projects

Details as reported by the state is at annexure-I

CSMC Conditions:
Socio Economic survey may be conducted and based on the survey biometric details of the beneficiaries should be prepared within 1 month
Survey is proposed from July 2010

Other Aspects:

I. Whether Beneficiaries identified:- Yes
II. Whether list of beneficiaries published on the state website :- Yes
III. Whether Biometric Identification of beneficiaries completed :- Socio Economic Survey conducted for identification of beneficiaries
IV. Whether TPIMA instituted :- Under Process
V. Quality:- Laboratory test report for C.S. of C.C. Cubes & bricks, F.M. of Sand & Grinding of Grit has been submitted without SLNA's comments.
VI. Whether PMU/PLUs established & functional :- Yes
VII. Whether up-to-date Data uploaded on IPoMS :- Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brief Summary</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>State/ULB</th>
<th>SI. No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Monitoring Committee (CSMG) Under Affordable Housing**

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION TO CENTRAL SANCTIONING
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>25.59</th>
<th>24.63</th>
<th>0.96</th>
<th>25.59</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The duration is 2.5 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of houses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure cost (for EWS, LIG &amp; MIG categories)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost (including internal and campus area) (Rs. in crores)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Monitoring Committee (CSAMC) Under Affordable Housing**

Brief Summary of Supplementary Agenda for Consideration to Central Sanctioning &
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>17.7.9</th>
<th>31.1.15</th>
<th>12.7.80</th>
<th>31.1.15</th>
<th>289.49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment Unit</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Released</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>92.99</td>
<td>88.46</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Not Released</td>
<td>72.40</td>
<td>92.99</td>
<td>88.46</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL FOR UTTARAKHAND**

- BSNP: Dehradun, Srinagar, Shri Ram, Dehradun
- C: HSMP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
- D: UBNP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
- E: UBNP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun

**BSNP**

- Dehradun, Srinagar, Shri Ram, Dehradun
- HSMP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
- UBNP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
- UBNP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun

**UTTARAKHAND**

- BSNP: Dehradun, Srinagar, Shri Ram, Dehradun
- C: HSMP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
- D: UBNP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
- E: UBNP: Dehradun, Sainik Colony, Dehradun
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>ISDP</th>
<th>Scheme No.</th>
<th>HUDDO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reimbursement of DPA Preparations Charges for ISDP Projects in Tamilnadu**

- Total amount for reimbursement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1949.950.00</th>
<th>4.5.8200.00</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>0.00</th>
<th>34.5.30</th>
<th>34.5.30</th>
<th>13.0.2.08</th>
<th>13.0.2.08</th>
<th>13.0.2.08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4.91.75.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.4.91.75.00</td>
<td>1.4.91.75.00</td>
<td>15.82.94</td>
<td>20.67.84</td>
<td>27.04.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
<td>2.50.50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Balance amount claimed for reimbursement (Rs.)**

- DL 28.320.7
- Video Letter
- Ministry
- Released by
- In the form of
- Associated
- in the form of
- Central
- Share (Rs.)
- Project
- Cost (Rs.)
- Approved in CSC meeting
-

36/37
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4 A. CE charges 8% on new cost</td>
<td>1.4 A. CE charges 8% on new cost</td>
<td>1.4 A. CE charges 8% on new cost</td>
<td>1.4 A. CE charges 8% on new cost</td>
<td>1.4 A. CE charges 8% on new cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sub Total (B)</td>
<td>Sub Total (B)</td>
<td>Sub Total (B)</td>
<td>Sub Total (B)</td>
<td>Sub Total (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
<td>Project cost (A+B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sub Total (A)</td>
<td>Sub Total (A)</td>
<td>Sub Total (A)</td>
<td>Sub Total (A)</td>
<td>Sub Total (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
<td>Central Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
<td>ULB share</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Details of State Share**: (As in Table)

**Statement**:

- Scheme of Lucknow (Approved in the EBC of WBC)
- Additional Infrastructure component in BSRP
- LUPE
- Other charges
- Benignite charges
- ULB contribution

**BSRP Project Name / Components**

- Name of the City
- Name of the State
- No.
- SL
- Central State Share 25% of
- Total Project Cost (A+B+C)
- Benignite contribution 1.4% on new cost
- ULB share 1.4% on new cost
- Central State Share 25% of
- Total Project Cost (A+B+C)
- Benignite contribution 1.4% on new cost
- ULB share 1.4% on new cost

Note: In addition to the above, all components have been excluded from the project costing.